1	Parties Listed on Signature Page		
2		E-filed: 10/31/08	
3			
4			
5			
6		·	
7			
8	IN THE UNITED STATES DISTRICT COURT		
9	NORTHERN DISTRICT OF CALIFORNIA – SAN JOSE DIVISION		
10	RAMBUS, INC.,	Case No. C 05-00334 RMW	
11	Plaintiff,	STIPULATION AND	
12	v.	ORDER REGARDING OPPOSITION TO RAMBUS'S <i>DAUBERT</i> MOTION	
13	HYNIX SEMICONDUCTOR INC., et al.,	NO. 3 AND MOTION <i>IN LIMINE</i> REGARDING W. CHRISTOPHER	
14	Defendants.	BAKEWELL	
15		Honorable Ronald M. Whyte	
16	RAMBUS, INC.,	Case No. C 05-02298 RMW	
17	Plaintiff,		
18	v.		
19	SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., et al.,		
20	Defendants.		
21.			
22			
23			
24			
25	·		
26			
27			
28			
	STIPULATION AND ORDER REGARDING OPPOSITION TO RAMBUS'S DAUBERT MOTION NO. 3	CASE No. C 05 00334 RMW CASE No. C 05 02298 RMW	

1 WHEREAS, on October 24, 2008, Rambus Inc. ("Rambus") filed its Daubert Motion No. 2 3 To Preclude The Manufacturers' Damages Experts From Relying On The Infineon Settlement Agreement, Certain Amended License Agreements, And Certain License Renegotiations; And 3 Motion In Limine To Preclude W. Christopher Bakewell From Offering His Newly Formed 4 5 Opinions At Trial (hereinafter "Rambus's Daubert Motion No. 3") in the above-captioned cases; WHEREAS, Rambus and Samsung Electronics Co., Ltd., Samsung Electronics America, 6 Inc., Samsung Semiconductor, Inc., and Samsung Austin Semiconductor, L.P. (collectively 7 8 "Samsung") have reached an agreement, by and through their respective attorneys of record, that Samsung shall be permitted to file a separate opposition to Rambus's alternative Motion In 9 Limine directed at Samsung's damages expert, W. Christopher Bakewell: 10 11 PURSUANT TO STIPULATION, IT IS ORDERED THAT: 12 13 Samsung is permitted to file a separate opposition to Rambus's Daubert Motion No. 3 to address Rambus's alternative Motion in Limine regarding W. Christopher Bakewell, to which 14 15 Rambus may file a separate reply. 16 SO ORDERED. 17 18 19 Dated: 10/31 20 21 22 23 24 25 26 27 28 CASE No. C 05 00334 RMW CASE No. C 05 02298 RMW ORDER STIPULATION AND REGARDING OPPOSITION TO RAMBUS'S DAUBERT

MOTION No. 3

1	Dated:	October 30, 2008	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
2			By: <u>/s/Dana K. Powers</u>
3			Dana K. Powers
4			Attorneys for Defendants
5			SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS CO., LTD., SAMSUNG ELECTRONICS AMERICA, INC., SAMSUNG SEMICONDUCTOR, INC., and
6			SAMSUNG AUSTIN SEMICONDUCTOR, L.P.
7			
8	Dated:	October 30, 2008	MUNGER TOLLES & OLSON LLP
9			SIDLEY AUSTIN LLP
10			McKOOL SMITH P.C.
11	•		
12			By: /s/ Andrea W. Jeffries Andrea W. Jeffries
13			Attorneys for RAMBUS INC.
14			
15			
16	Filer's Attestation:		
17	Pursuant to General Order No. 45, Section X(B) regarding signatures, I attest under		
18	penalty of perjury that concurrence in the filing of this Stipulation has been obtained from Andrea		
19	W. Jeffries.		
20	Dated:	October 30, 2008	WEIL, GOTSHAL & MANGES LLP
21	Date.		
22			By: /s/ Dana K. Powers
23			DANA K. POWERS (Bar No. 252159) Email: dana.powers@weil.com
24			
25			
26			•
27			
28			
		ITION AND ORDER ING OPPOSITION TO RAMBUS'S <i>DAUBERT</i> No. 3	CASE No. C 05 00334 RMW CASE No. C 05 02298 RMW